

UNIVERSITÀ DEL PIEMONTE ORIENTALE
DIPARTIMENTO DI GIURISPRUDENZA E SCIENZE POLITICHE,
ECONOMICHE E SOCIALI

CORSO DI LAUREA MAGISTRALE IN ECONOMIA E
MANAGEMENT

TESI DI LAUREA

**The effects of visual nudges, posters, and advertising on consumer
decision-making and behaviour**

Relatore:

Chiar.mo Prof. Ponzano Ferruccio

Correlatore:

Chiar.mo Prof. Silvio Traverso



Firmato digitalmente da Ferruccio Ponzano
Data: 30.08.2026 11:41:39 CEST
Organizzazione: UNIVERSITA' DEGLI STUDI
DEL PIEMONTE ORIENTALE/01943490027

Candidato:
Ali Mohammad

ANNO ACCADEMICO 2025/2026

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

"Gratitude is the memory of the heart."

First and foremost, I wish to express my deepest gratitude and sincere appreciation to my thesis supervisor, Prof. Ferruccio Ponzano, and my co-supervisor, Prof. Silvio Traverso, for their continuous guidance, invaluable academic insights, and constructive feedback throughout this research. Working under their supervision has been a truly rewarding and enriching academic experience.

My sincere thanks go to Università del Piemonte Orientale (UPO) for providing an inspiring environment and granting me the precious opportunity to pursue my Master's degree in Italy.

I am profoundly thankful to my friends and colleagues in Italy who became my second family, offering continuous encouragement, emotional warmth, and practical support that made me feel at home throughout this journey.

To everyone who contributed, directly or indirectly, to the successful completion of this work; thank you.

DEDICATION

To my beloved parents, Mom & Dad, whose unconditional love, continuous sacrifices, and unwavering belief in me paved the way for all my achievements;

And to my dear siblings, Nour, Reem, and Hussam, whose constant support and presence have always been my greatest source of strength;

This thesis is dedicated to you.

* * *

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS & DEDICATION	3
ABSTRACT	6
INTRODUCTION	7
<u>CHAPTER 1: WHAT HAS CHANGED IN CHOICE ARCHITECTURE AND ADVERTISING STRATEGIES?</u>	9
1.1. The Evolution of Visual Advertising and Nudge Theory in Marketing	9
1.2. The Mechanics of Visual Nudging in Retail and Public Spaces	11
1.3. Strategic Use of Posters as Behavioural Interventions	14
1.4. Corporate and Institutional Applications of Visual Nudging	16
1.4.1. Commercial Nudging: Enhancing Perceived Value and Impulse Buying .	16
1.4.2. Pro-Social Nudging: Sustainability, Public Health, and Civic Compliance	17
1.5. Case Studies in Visual Choice Architecture	18
 <u>CHAPTER 2: WHAT HAS CHANGED IN THE CONSUMER DECISION-MAKING PROCESS?</u>	23
2.1. Theories Behind Consumer Behavioural Economics	23
2.1.1. Economic Theory and Bounded Rationality	23
2.1.2. Psychological Theory: Dual-Process Model (System 1 vs. System 2)	25
2.1.3. Marketing Theory and Mental Shortcuts (Heuristics)	26
2.2. Psychological Triggers and Heuristics Activated by Visual Cues	26
2.2.1. Scarcity, Urgency, and Framing Effects	27
2.2.2. Social Proof, Descriptive Norms, and Herding Behaviour	27
2.2.3. Anchoring and Availability Heuristics	28
2.3. The Impact of Visual Surveillance and Implicit Cues	28
2.3.1. The "Watching-Eyes" Effect in Public and Commercial Spaces	29

2.3.2. Political and Social Pressure of Visual Architecture (Panopticism and State Control)	29
2.4. Limitations, Habituation Risks, and Ethical Boundaries: Nudging vs. Sludge	30
2.4.1. Habituation, Cognitive Overload, and Ceiling Effects	30
2.4.2. Ethical Boundaries: Nudging vs. Sludge and Manipulation	30
 <u>CHAPTER 3: EXPERIMENTAL FRAMEWORK AND EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS</u>	33
3.1. Research Objectives and Hypotheses Development	33
3.2. Experimental Design and Methodology (A/B/C Testing)	34
3.3. Visual Stimuli and Data Collection Instrument.....	35
3.4. Empirical Results and Statistical Discussion	36
3.5. Managerial and Academic Implications	40
3.6. Limitations of the Study and Future Research Directions	41
 CONCLUSIONS	43
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MARKETERS AND POLICY MAKERS	45
REFERENCES / BIBLIOGRAFIA	47
APPENDIX A: EXPERIMENTAL VISUAL STIMULI CARDS	51
APPENDIX B: FULL QUESTIONNAIRE STRUCTURE	54

ABSTRACT:

Traditional economic models have long evaluated consumer choices under the assumption of perfect rationality. However, behavioural economics demonstrates that real-world decision-making is strongly bounded and heavily influenced by cognitive heuristics, environmental framing, and emotional responses. This Master's dissertation investigates the systemic effects of visual nudges, strategic poster designs, and targeted advertising on consumer choice architecture and behavioural dynamics. Adopting a dual-perspective framework, the study first analyzes organizational shifts toward visual choice architecture in retail and pro-social environments. It subsequently deconstructs the individual psychological mechanisms—such as scarcity, social proof, and perceived surveillance—activated by visual cues. To bridge theoretical literature with empirical analysis, this thesis incorporates a primary randomized controlled experiment (A/B/C Testing, N = 199) evaluating Purchase Intentions and Willingness to Pay (WTP). The empirical findings reveal that while implicit visual cues slightly elevate nominal WTP, the effects do not reach statistical significance, highlighting critical cognitive boundaries, digital ad fatigue, and price anchoring constraints in visual choice architecture.

INTRODUCTION

Traditional economic theory long operated under the assumption of the "Homo Economicus"—a perfectly rational actor who processes all available information, evaluates probabilities with mathematical precision, and makes optimal choices to maximize personal utility within budgetary constraints. However, the real-world marketplace reveals a strikingly different narrative. Human decision-making in daily life is bounded, heuristic-driven, and deeply susceptible to cognitive biases, psychological triggers, and environmental framing.

In modern consumer landscapes, individuals are constantly inundated with an overwhelming volume of visual stimuli, choices, and advertisements. To navigate this complex environment without incurring prohibitive cognitive fatigue, consumers rely heavily on System 1 thinking—an intuitive, automatic, and fast cognitive mechanism—rather than the deliberative and reflective System 2 process. Recognizing this fundamental psychological reality, marketers, choice architects, and policymakers have increasingly turned away from purely informational or price-based strategies, focusing instead on shaping the decision environment itself.

This paradigm shift is encapsulated in the concept of Visual Nudging and Choice Architecture. By altering how options are visually presented, structured, and framed—whether through strategically designed posters, digital badges, social proof cues, or scarcity warnings—businesses and institutions can significantly influence human choice without restricting freedom or altering underlying financial incentives. While classical advertising traditionally aimed to inform or persuade through rational argumentation, visual nudges leverage cognitive shortcuts (heuristics) to gently guide behavior in predictable directions.

To systematically investigate this phenomenon, this dissertation adopts a dual-perspective framework.

CHAPTER 1: WHAT HAS CHANGED IN CHOICE ARCHITECTURE AND ADVERTISING STRATEGIES?

1.1. The Evolution of Visual Advertising and Nudge Theory in Marketing

For decades, traditional economic frameworks and classical marketing theories operated under the foundational assumption that consumer decisions were primarily driven by rational evaluations of objective market information. In this classical paradigm, consumer behavior was modeled after the concept of Homo Economicus—an idealized economic actor possessing well-defined preferences, complete access to market information, and the cognitive capacity to compute expected utility across competing alternatives. Consequently, traditional advertising served a predominantly informative and persuasive function. Advertisements were structured to convey explicit product attributes, highlight price points, present technical specifications, and offer logical arguments designed to convince consumers that a given purchase would optimize their personal utility.

However, the emergence of behavioral economics fundamentally challenged this classical paradigm. Pioneering research by scholars such as Herbert Simon, Daniel Kahneman, Amos Tversky, Richard Thaler, and Cass Sunstein demonstrated that human cognitive processing is constrained by severe psychological limits—a state described as Bounded Rationality. Rather than conducting exhaustive comparative analyses for every daily transaction, real-world consumers rely extensively on mental shortcuts, cognitive heuristics, and environmental framing to navigate an increasingly saturated marketplace.¹

¹ Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2008). *Nudge: Improving Decisions About Health, Wealth, and Happiness*. Yale University Press; Thaler, R. H. (2015). *Misbehaving: The Making of Behavioral Economics*. W. W. Norton & Company.

This paradigm shift triggered a structural evolution in modern advertising strategies. Advertising transitioned from merely communicating value propositions through informative rhetoric to actively engineering the immediate physical and digital environments in which choices occur—a discipline recognized as Choice Architecture. Within choice architecture, visual communication plays a paramount role. Visual stimuli—including chromatic contrast, spatial positioning, dynamic visual badges, typographical emphasis, and directional iconography—act as implicit cognitive triggers that engage System 1 cognitive processing.

According to Dual-Process Theory, human cognition operates through two distinct systems:

- * System 1 (Automatic & Fast): Operates rapidly, intuitively, unconsciously, and with minimal cognitive effort. It is highly sensitive to emotional associations, visual salience, and environmental framing.
- * System 2 (Deliberate & Slow): Operates analytically, logically, consciously, and requires sustained mental exertion.

In contemporary consumer environments, individuals are inundated with an overwhelming volume of visual and textual information. Facing cognitive fatigue and attention scarcity, consumers increasingly rely on System 1 heuristics to make rapid purchasing evaluations. Traditional advertising campaigns that depend heavily on System 2 engagement—such as extensive textual explanations or complex comparative price tables—frequently encounter high cognitive resistance or are simply ignored.

To optimize consumer engagement under these conditions, marketers and choice architects have systematically integrated Nudge Theory into visual advertising. Formally defined by Thaler and Sunstein (2008), a nudge is any aspect of the choice architecture that alters people's behavior in a predictable way without forbidding any options or significantly changing their economic incentives. To qualify as a pure nudge, the intervention must be transparent, non-coercive, and easy to avoid; nudges are neither legal mandates nor financial penalties.

In the domain of visual marketing, a visual nudge functions by strategically manipulating the salience and cognitive accessibility of specific information. By modifying visual hierarchies—such as placing a "Most Popular Choice" badge on a digital subscription tier, highlighting limited inventory through chromatic urgency cues, or deploying directional eye-gaze icons—choice architects can subtly redirect consumer visual attention and elevate purchase probabilities without restricting consumer autonomy. Therefore, the evolution of visual advertising represents a fundamental transition from persuasive verbal rhetoric to environmental visual engineering.

1.2. The Mechanics of Visual Nudging in Retail and Public Spaces

The physical and digital environments in which choices take place are never neutral. Every architectural layout, visual display, color scheme, and structural positioning inherently structures consumer decision-making, establishing what behavioral economists term the "default choice environment". Deconstructing the operational mechanics of visual nudging requires examining how specific design parameters manipulate cognitive accessibility and perceptual salience across both retail and public domains.

1. Spatial Salience and Ergonomic Positioning

In physical retail choice architecture, one of the primary operational mechanics of visual nudging relies on spatial ergonomics. Research in eye-tracking and retail analytics consistently demonstrates that products positioned directly at eye level receive disproportionately higher visual attention and conversion rates compared to items located on upper or lower shelves. Choice architects leverage this phenomenon by placing high-margin items, strategic partner brands, or targeted healthy options within prime visual zones—frequently designated as the "Golden Zone".

Similarly, the visual structuring of checkout counters capitalizes on the psychological state of Decision Fatigue. As consumers deplete their executive cognitive function during a shopping session, their capacity for System 2 impulse control declines. Placing visually striking, low-

involvement items at point-of-sale checkout lanes provides high visual salience that triggers unplanned, System 1 impulse purchases.

2. Chromatic Psychology and Visual Framing

Beyond physical spatial layout, visual framing and chromatic psychology serve as potent nudging mechanics. Color Cues evoke instantaneous affective responses in System 1 prior to conscious analytical evaluation. For example, high-frequency warm colors—such as red and orange highlights—are universally processed as signal indicators of urgency, discount, or hazard. In retail displays, applying red visual badges accelerates reaction times and suppresses analytical price sensitivity by inducing a psychological perception of temporal scarcity.

Conversely, cool chromatic tones and green leaf iconography are systematically deployed in pro-social and environmental nudging. Green visual framing activates implicit cognitive associations with sustainability, naturalness, and health, guiding consumers toward eco-friendly product lines without requiring complex environmental disclosures.

3. Directional and Structural Environmental Cues

In public transit, municipal facilities, and institutional spaces, visual nudges frequently operate through structural pathways and directional iconography. Floor decals, painted footprints, and visual lines represent classic forms of spatial nudging. By introducing visual pathways leading toward staircases instead of escalators, or toward hand-sanitizing stations in public facilities, choice architects alter foot traffic flow seamlessly. These structural visual cues reduce the friction associated with desirable pro-social behaviors, transforming the intended action into the most natural, effortless path of cognitive and physical resistance.

4. Informative vs. Motivational Visual Framing

A critical operational distinction within visual choice architecture lies between Informative and Motivational visual messaging:

- * **Informative Visual Signage:** Focuses on presenting objective metrics, factual data, or detailed caloric/carbon statistics. While informative visual cues enhance baseline knowledge, they depend heavily on the viewer's pre-existing motivation and System 2 cognitive capacity.

- * **Motivational Visual Messaging:** Deploys positive framing, emotional imagery, and aspirational symbolism. Behavioral evidence indicates that motivational visual nudges generate stronger immediate behavioral modification in fast-paced retail or dining environments by creating direct affective resonance.

In summary, visual nudges function by optimizing the perceptual mechanics of the decision space. By calibrating spatial positioning, chromatic cues, visual framing, and directional elements, choice architects systematically guide human behavior while preserving nominal choice autonomy.

1.3. Strategic Use of Posters as Behavioural Interventions

Posters represent one of the most enduring, versatile, and high-impact media in visual communication. Unlike dynamic digital screens or targeted mobile pop-up advertisements, static physical posters function as permanent visual anchors within a shared physical environment. In choice architecture, strategic poster design serves not merely as a passive medium for brand awareness, but as an active, direct behavioral intervention tool capable of altering real-time decision-making across public, institutional, and commercial settings.

The behavioral efficacy of a poster intervention relies on the strategic integration of three core psychological mechanics:

Concrete Tangibility vs. Abstract Information Processing A major cognitive barrier in public messaging is abstract presentation. The human brain processes concrete, visually tangible information far more effectively than large, abstract numerical values. For instance, a poster stating that "Annual institutional food waste exceeds 500,000 kilograms" imposes high cognitive processing costs on System 1. Conversely, a strategically designed poster that visually scales metrics down to concrete, relatable daily units—such as displaying a graphic of "One plate of wasted food equals one meal denied to a child daily"—significantly lowers cognitive friction and prompts immediate emotional resonance.

Deployment of Descriptive and Social Norms Posters are uniquely positioned to leverage Social Proof—the psychological tendency wherein individuals align their actions with perceived collective behavior. By incorporating Descriptive Norms (visual information depicting what the majority of peers actually do), posters establish immediate behavioral benchmarks. Field interventions demonstrate that placing posters displaying visual depictions of social participation—such as graphics highlighting that "8 out of 10 students in this hall return their dining trays"—substantially increases norm compliance without formal rule enforcement.

Visual Salience and Typographic Hierarchy The structural layout of a behavioral poster dictates how System 1 scans and processes information. Effective choice architecture requires establishing a clear Visual Hierarchy:

- High-contrast focal points (such as centralized images or bold focal iconography) capture immediate visual attention.
- Concise, actionable headline text guides intuitive evaluation.
- Supporting informative details are positioned lower in the visual hierarchy for deliberative System 2 processing.

By combining concrete visual framing, descriptive social norms, and structured visual hierarchies, strategic posters operate as continuous, low-cost behavioral nudges that bridge the gap between passive awareness and active habit modification.

1.4. Corporate and Institutional Applications of Visual Nudging

Visual choice architecture is actively operationalized across both private commercial enterprises and public institutional frameworks. While commercial corporations leverage visual nudging to optimize conversion funnels, elevate perceived value, and maximize purchase volume, public and non-profit institutions deploy identical behavioral principles to encourage pro-social habits, elevate public health compliance, and optimize resource allocation.

1.4.1. Commercial Nudging: Enhancing Perceived Value and Impulse Buying

In competitive retail and e-commerce environments, commercial choice architects manipulate visual cues to shape perceived product utility and accelerate conversion velocity:

- **Visual Scarcity and Urgency Badges:** E-commerce interfaces and retail stores frequently attach visual indicators such as "Only 3 Items Remaining", "High Demand", or "Bestseller" badges. These visual triggers engage System 1 loss aversion mechanisms, inducing psychological urgency and activating the Fear of Missing Out (FOMO).
- **Price Anchoring and Visual Hierarchy:** Presenting a high "original price" visually crossed out adjacent to a lower "discounted price" creates a dominant visual anchor. System 1 evaluates the final price strictly relative to the visual reference anchor, significantly elevating perceived value and reducing price sensitivity.
- **Impulse Purchase Architecture:** Structuring physical point-of-sale displays or digital "Recommended Add-Ons" at checkout pages leverages decision fatigue to stimulate low-involvement impulse buys.

1.4.2. Pro-Social Nudging: Sustainability, Public Health, and Civic Compliance

Public institutions, municipal authorities, and universities deploy visual choice architecture to address complex societal challenges without imposing strict mandates or economic penalties:

- **Food Waste Reduction:** Institutional dining halls place posters comparing abstract environmental footprints with concrete, daily visual metrics, guiding individuals toward voluntary portion control and plate waste minimization.
- **Public Health Interventions:** Visual cues—such as prominent floor decals directing individuals toward hand-sanitizing stations or brightly colored stairwell displays encouraging physical exercise—lower the cognitive friction of adopting healthy routines.
- **Civic and Anti-Corruption Campaigns:** Field studies demonstrate that visual posters displaying descriptive social norms (e.g., highlighting high rates of community tax compliance or honesty among citizens) effectively reduce anti-social behaviors, such as bribery or fare evasion in public transit.

1.5. Case Studies in Visual Choice Architecture

To evaluate the empirical effectiveness and operational boundaries of visual choice architecture, it is essential to examine field applications where visual environments were systematically manipulated across public, political, institutional, and commercial domains. This section analyzes three distinct, high-impact case studies, providing a comparative evaluation of how identical visual psychological triggers yield diverging behavioral outcomes depending on the institutional framework, power dynamics, and environmental context.

Case Study 1: The "Watching-Eyes" Effect: Institutional Nudging vs. Authoritarian Coercion (Public Transit Systems vs. Authoritarian Environments):

One of the most profound and widely researched applications of implicit visual choice architecture is the Watching-Eyes Effect. Evolutionary psychology and cognitive neuroscience demonstrate that displaying visual representations of human eyes—even in static, non-digital formats—activates dedicated neural mechanisms attuned to gaze direction and perceived observation. Being observed historically carried severe evolutionary consequences for social reputation, inclusion, or punishment. Consequently, visual cues of gaze orientation trigger automatic System 1 processing that heightens internal accountability. However, a critical comparative analysis reveals that the behavioral manifestation of this biological mechanism depends fundamentally on the institutional context and underlying political power dynamics.

- **Institutional Behavioral Nudging (Public Transit and Railway Systems):**

In public transit choice architecture, implicit visual nudging has been deployed to encourage pro-social rule compliance and reduce public revenue losses. A prominent field experiment conducted in transit systems involved placing static posters and advertisements featuring prominent, direct-gaze staring eyes near ticket vending machines, platform entry gates, and unmonitored walkways. The visual presence of staring eyes triggered implicit System 1 social scrutiny mechanisms, evoking subconscious concerns regarding peer reputation and public accountability. Consequently, fare evasion rates dropped significantly during the intervention period. This study proves that within democratic, institutional settings, subtle visual cues indicating social observation can generate voluntary civic compliance without incurring the financial burden or friction of physical security enforcement.²

- **Authoritarian Visual Coercion (The Syrian Experience):**

Conversely, in authoritarian regimes, the identical evolutionary psychological trigger is operationalized under an amplified, high-coercion, and totalitarian framework. In Syria under the authoritarian regimes, the ubiquitous presence of official presidential portraits—characterized by direct, unyielding gazes displayed across public streets, building facades, state institutions, and commercial squares—functions as a macro-visual choice architecture intervention. Unlike the benevolent, soft nudging utilized in public transit policy, these pervasive visual installations systematically reinforce an environment of omnipresent state surveillance and psychological fear. This visual saturation is deeply embedded in the popular cultural idiom "the walls have ears" (al-heetan laha aadhan), symbolizing the complete collapse of private expression. Here, visual architecture transcends mere behavioral guidance to become a systemic instrument of political deterrence, driving absolute behavioral compliance and self-censorship through fear of retribution rather than civic duty.³

² Bateson, M., Nettle, D., & Roberts, G. (2006). Cues of being watched enhance cooperation in a real-world setting. *Biology Letters*, 2(3), 412–414; Dear, K. B., Dutton, K., & Fox, E. (2019). Do ‘watching eyes’ influence antisocial behavior? *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 40(3), 269–280; Amroush, F. (2020). Behavioural nudging and visual cues in public compliance. *Journal of Behavioural Economics and Policy*, 14(2), 112–128.

³ Wedeen, L. (1999). *Ambiguities of Domination: Politics, Rhetoric, and Symbols in Contemporary Syria*. University of Chicago Press; Wedeen, L. (2019). *Authoritarian Apprehensions: Ideology, Judgment, and Mourning in Syria*. University of Chicago Press; Foucault, M. (1977). *Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison*. Pantheon Books.

- **Comparative Synthesis:** Comparing public transit field experiments with authoritarian socio-political environments illustrates the contextual sensitivity of visual choice architecture. While implicit visual cues of "being watched" universally alter human decision-making, the core driver of compliance shifts: institutional visual nudging leverages subtle social reputation mechanisms to foster voluntary pro-social choices, whereas authoritarian visual presence exploits System 1 anxiety to enforce involuntary compliance through psychological control.

Case Study 2: Institutional Food Waste Interventions in Dining Halls

In institutional sustainability and resource management, visual choice architecture has been deployed to combat post-consumer resource waste without restricting dietary choices or imposing financial penalties. An empirical field study conducted in university dining facilities evaluated how varying visual poster designs and information frameworks influence student food waste behavior.

The field intervention evaluated two distinct visual framing formats:

1. **Informative Signage:** Posters displaying large, abstract, annual waste metrics (e.g., "Institutional dining halls generate over 1,400 kilograms of food waste annually").
2. **Motivational and Concrete Visual Framing:** Posters utilizing aspirational messaging paired with concrete, daily visual metrics (e.g., displaying a graphic showing "150 grams of plate waste per student equals one meal lost daily").

While informative signage elevated baseline awareness, combining concrete daily visual metrics with positive, motivational framing produced the highest statistically significant reduction in plate waste. The study confirmed that abstract, large numerical figures impose high cognitive friction on System 1, rendering them ineffective at the moment of decision-making. Conversely, scaling visual metrics down to concrete, relatable daily units significantly lowers cognitive processing friction, triggering affective reflection and voluntary behavioral adjustment at the point of consumption. ⁴

Case Study 3: Retail Visual Architecture and Choice Restructuring

In commercial retail environments, visual nudging mechanisms are systematically deployed to restructure consumer choice hierarchies without restricting product availability or altering baseline prices. A major supermarket chain conducted a visual choice architecture field experiment aimed at increasing sales of healthier, sustainable product lines.

The retail intervention introduced two primary visual manipulations:

1. Spatial Salience: Shifting target products from lower, low-visibility shelves to eye-level shelf positioning, famously known in retail ergonomics as "The Golden Zone".
2. Chromatic Badging: Attaching vibrant, standardized visual badges ("Smart Choice") directly to shelf tags to create immediate visual contrast.

Over a six-month evaluation period, sales of badged items positioned in prime visual zones increased by 18%, whereas sales of identical, unbadged items located on lower shelves remained stagnant. This empirical case demonstrates that visual prominence, chromatic framing, and spatial ergonomics directly dictate consumer attention allocation in fast-paced retail environments, guiding intuitive System 1 purchase choices. ⁵

⁴ Kallbekken, S., & Sælen, H. (2013). 'Nudging' hotel guests to reduce food waste as a win-win environmental measure. *Economics Letters*, 119(3), 325–327; Wansink, B., & van Ittersum, K. (2013). Portion size me: Plate-size induced consumption norms. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 19(4), 320–332.

⁵ Johnson, E. J., et al. (2012). Beyond nudges: Tools of a choice architecture. *Marketing Letters*, 23(2), 487–504; Wilson, A. L., et al. (2016). Nudging consumers towards healthier food choices within supermarkets. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 13(1), Article 88, 1–18.

Table 1.5: Summary of Visual Choice Architecture Case Studies

Case Study Context	Primary Visual Nudge/ Intervention	Observed Behavioural Impact
Public Transit & Authoritarian Spaces (Transit Systems vs. Authoritarian Environments)	Staring-eyes posters vs. ubiquitous authoritarian presidential portraits	Reduction in fare evasion and anti-social transit violations vs. fear-induced compliance and self-censorship.
University Dining (Food Waste)	Concrete daily waste metrics and motivational visual posters.	Reduced post-consumer plate waste through lower cognitive friction.
Commercial Retail (Supermarket)	"Smart Choice" visual badges and eye-level shelf positioning.	18% increase in sales of targeted items due to heightened visual salience.

CHAPTER 2: WHAT HAS CHANGED IN THE CONSUMER DECISION-MAKING PROCESS?

2.1. Theories Behind Consumer Behavioural Economics

Understanding how modern consumers process, evaluate, and respond to visual choice architectures requires a rigorous, multi-disciplinary examination of the theoretical models governing human decision-making. Consumer behavior in contemporary marketplaces can no longer be comprehended through a single disciplinary lens; rather, it operates at the dynamic intersection of classical microeconomic theory, cognitive psychology, evolutionary biology, and behavioral marketing frameworks.

For decades, traditional economics evaluated consumer choices under normative assumptions—describing how perfectly logical actors should behave. However, the real-world marketplace reveals that actual decision-making is bounded, emotional, heuristic-driven, and deeply susceptible to visual framing and environmental cues. To establish a comprehensive theoretical foundation for visual nudging, it is essential to trace the historical paradigm shift from normative rationality to positive behavioral economics.

2.1.1. Economic Theory and Bounded Rationality

Classical and neoclassical economic models historically evaluated consumer choice through the theoretical construct of normative rationality. Under standard microeconomic theory, individual purchasing decisions are modeled using the utility-maximization framework. The consumer is conceptualized as a perfectly rational economic agent—frequently designated as *Homo Economicus*. This idealized decision-maker is assumed to possess stable, well-defined preference orderings, complete access to transparent market information, and unlimited computational capacity to evaluate probabilities and compute expected utility across competing goods, strictly bounded by budgetary constraints. Within this classical framework, demand curves follow strict laws of price elasticity and marginal utility, assuming that consumers systematically weigh costs and benefits prior to every transaction.

However, extensive empirical research in real-world markets consistently demonstrated that classical normative models fail to capture actual consumer choice dynamics. Human decision-makers do not behave like unconstrained optimization computers. In 1955, Herbert Simon fundamentally challenged standard economic theory by formulating the concept of Bounded Rationality. Simon demonstrated that real-world human choices are constrained by inherent psychological limits, information asymmetries, cognitive bandwidth boundaries, and strict temporal limits.

Because conducting exhaustive computational analyses for every daily transaction would impose prohibitive cognitive friction and mental fatigue, human beings do not optimize. Instead, consumers routinely engage in what Simon termed "Satisficing"—a behavioral strategy wherein individuals select an option that satisfies an acceptable threshold of adequacy relative to search and processing costs, rather than searching globally for the absolute optimal choice.⁶

Visual choice architecture and visual nudging directly capitalize on bounded rationality. By strategically altering the physical or digital decision context—such as enhancing the visual salience of a preferred product through color framing, strategic placement, or visual badges—choice architects lower the cognitive processing friction associated with evaluating that option. Consequently, visually nudged products become the path of least cognitive resistance, rendering them the natural choice for satisficing consumers who rely on mental shortcuts to navigate complex retail environments.

⁶ Simon, H. A. (1955). A behavioral model of rational choice. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 69(1), 99–118.

2.1.2. Psychological Theory: Dual-Process Model (System 1 vs. System 2)

To address the empirical limitations of classical normative economics, cognitive psychology provides fundamental insights into the internal cognitive architecture governing human decision-making. Central to contemporary behavioral economics is the Dual-Process Theory, popularized and synthesized by Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky. This psychological framework posits that human cognitive processing is mediated by two distinct, interacting operational systems:

- System 1 (Implicit, Fast, and Automatic): Operates rapidly, intuitively, unconsciously, and with minimal cognitive exertion. System 1 relies on pattern recognition, visual salience, affective impressions, and immediate environmental cues. It handles the vast majority of daily micro-decisions and perceptual evaluations automatically, without conscious deliberation.⁷
- System 2 (Explicit, Slow, and Deliberative): Operates analytically, logically, consciously, and requires sustained mental exertion. System 2 handles complex mathematical calculations, formal risk evaluations, critical reasoning, and deliberate comparative analyses.

In modern consumer landscapes characterized by information overload, continuous advertising exposure, and choice fatigue, human beings experience cognitive bandwidth depletion. To conserve mental energy, consumers delegate the vast majority of daily purchasing evaluations to System 1. Visual choice architecture and visual nudging interventions—such as high-contrast promotional badges, spatial placement within the visual field, chromatic urgency highlights, and directional gaze iconography—are specifically engineered to engage System 1 processing directly. By triggering intuitive affective responses, visual nudges guide consumer choice probabilities before deliberative System 2 analytical reasoning is fully activated.

⁷ Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, Fast and Slow*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux; Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263–291.

2.1.3. Marketing Theory and Mental Shortcuts (Heuristics)

Marketing choice theory bridges microeconomic constraints and cognitive psychology by examining how consumers evaluate alternatives within dynamic purchasing environments. Modern marketing models acknowledge that purchasing decisions rarely follow linear, fully analytical pathways. Instead, individuals rely extensively on cognitive heuristics—mental shortcuts that simplify complex evaluation tasks by substituting them with intuitive cognitive judgments.

Within the multi-stage Decision-Making Unit (DMU), visual cues serve as powerful cognitive filters that dictate information accessibility. By strategically deploying high-salience visual indicators—such as visual review ratings, scarcity warnings, or spatial placement—choice architects directly influence which products enter the consumer's evoked set (the shortlist of brands actively considered for transaction). Visual nudges lower the cognitive friction associated with product evaluation, shaping consumer perceptions right up to the point of sale and accelerating immediate purchase velocity

2.2. Psychological Triggers and Heuristics Activated by Visual Cues

Visual choice architecture exerts its behavioral influence primarily by tapping into evolutionary cognitive heuristics embedded in human biology. Because System 1 cognitive processing relies on rapid environmental pattern recognition, specific visual configurations automatically trigger predictable behavioral and affective responses. This section deconstructs the primary psychological triggers activated by visual advertising, posters, and digital badges.

2.2.1. Scarcity, Urgency, and Framing Effects

The Scarcity Principle dictates that human beings assign higher subjective value to items perceived as limited in availability, quantity, or duration. In visual choice architecture, scarcity is operationalized through high-salience visual badges, dynamic countdown timers, and chromatic urgency highlights (such as "Only 3 items remaining in stock!" or "Limited Edition"). These visual triggers induce an immediate perception of temporal and resource pressure, activating the Fear of Missing Out (FOMO). From a behavioral economics perspective, visual scarcity triggers loss aversion—a cognitive bias wherein the psychological pain of losing an opportunity is twice as powerful as the pleasure of gaining an equivalent benefit. This psychological urgency accelerates decision velocity, suppresses analytical price evaluations within System 2, and elevates both Purchase Intentions (PI) and Willingness to Pay (WTP).

Simultaneously, the Framing Effect demonstrates that how logically equivalent information is visually presented fundamentally alters human evaluation. Equivalent propositions yield diverging consumer responses based strictly on visual framing. For instance, visual labels emphasizing positive product attributes (such as "90% Fat-Free") generate significantly higher purchase willingness than logically identical negative frames ("10% Fat"), because positive visual framing activates immediate favorable affective associations within System 1.⁸

2.2.2. Social Proof, Descriptive Norms, and Herding Behaviour

When navigating market uncertainty or information ambiguity, individuals routinely look to the actions and choices of others to infer optimal behavior—a psychological mechanism defined as Social Proof. Visual choice architecture leverages social proof by integrating visual signals of collective validation. Displaying customer star-rating badges, "Bestseller" icons, or visual imagery depicting crowds engaging in a specific behavior serves as a powerful Descriptive Norm.

Descriptive norms visually communicate what is typical, standard, or socially expected in a given decision setting. Behavioral field experiments reveal that visual displays portraying peer participation significantly lower perceived personal risk, triggering visual herding behavior wherein consumers align their individual choices with perceived collective standards to minimize personal social risk and decision regret.

⁸ Cialdini, R. B. (2009). *Influence: Science and Practice* (5th ed.). Pearson Education; Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1981). The framing of decisions and the psychology of choice. *Science*, 211(4481), 453–458.

2.2.3. Anchoring and Availability Heuristics

The Anchoring Heuristic describes the disproportionate cognitive weight individuals place on the initial visual reference point encountered during a decision task. In visual pricing architecture, choice architects routinely display a high "original price" visually crossed out adjacent to a lower "discounted final price". The initial higher figure establishes a high visual anchor; subsequent price evaluations are processed strictly relative to this reference anchor, making the final price visually compelling regardless of its objective market value.

Furthermore, the Availability Heuristic dictates that people assess the probability, frequency, or value of events based on how easily mental instances come to mind. Repeated visual exposure to high-salience brand logos, persistent poster installations, and standardized iconography elevates cognitive availability, fostering brand trust and reinforcing habitual purchasing behaviors.

2.3. The Impact of Visual Surveillance and Implicit Cues

In addition to explicit visual nudges such as scarcity badges, social proof icons, or promotional pricing displays, choice architecture frequently employs implicit visual cues—subtle environmental stimuli that influence human behavior without conscious cognitive awareness. Among these implicit mechanisms, visual cues implying social observation, peer gaze, or systemic surveillance represent one of the most powerful determinants of behavioral compliance across both public commercial settings and socio-political domains.

2.3.1. The "Watching-Eyes" Effect in Public and Commercial Spaces

The Watching-Eyes Effect is an evolutionary psychology phenomenon wherein the simple presence of imagery depicting human eyes triggers implicit perceptions of social observation. Evolutionary biology dictates that human beings possess specialized neural mechanisms—located within the superior temporal sulcus and amygdala—that are highly attuned to gaze direction, as being observed historically carried vital implications for social reputation, group inclusion, or immediate physical retribution.

In choice architecture, placing posters, signs, or badges featuring staring eyes significantly alters individual behavior. Empirical field experiments—such as those highlighted by behavioral researchers demonstrate that displaying staring-eye imagery in public settings (such as train stations, honesty-box coffee displays, or recycling hubs) leads to sharp reductions in fare evasion, littering, and theft. The visual cue operates automatically via System 1, generating an internal sense of reputation preservation and personal accountability even when individuals objectively know that no physical person or digital camera is monitoring them.

2.3.2. Political and Social Pressure of Visual Architecture (Panopticism and State Control)

Beyond localized public compliance, implicit visual architecture has historically been deployed on a broader socio-political scale to enforce collective conformity and state authority. Authoritarian regimes and political choice architects routinely employ ubiquitous, large-scale visual imagery—such as pervasive portraits of political leaders with direct, staring gazes placed strategically in public squares, state institutions, commercial avenues, and streets.

Theoretical conceptualizations of this phenomenon trace back to Michel Foucault's analysis of Panopticism—a structural mechanism of power where the perception of constant, unavoidable observation forces individuals to internalize surveillance and regulate their own behavior. From a behavioral economics perspective, these political visual installations function as continuous, high-salience implicit cues. By maintaining a persistent visual presence that simulates constant state surveillance, visual choice architecture instills a subconscious psychological pressure.

As empirically highlighted in the Syrian case study in Chapter 1, this continuous exposure to direct-gaze presidential imagery operates as a macro-implicit cue. It activates System 1 anxiety, induces self-censorship, and transforms visual choice architecture from a tool of gentle persuasion into an absolute mechanism of political deterrence and systemic social control.

2.4. Limitations, Habituation Risks, and Ethical Boundaries: Nudging vs. Sludge

While visual choice architecture offers powerful tools for guiding human decisions, its effectiveness is neither universal nor indefinite. Over-reliance on visual nudging reveals distinct operational limitations, psychological habituation risks, and complex ethical dilemmas. A rigorous evaluation of visual interventions requires analyzing where nudging succeeds, where it fails, and where it crosses the boundary into manipulative exploitation.

2.4.1. Habituation, Cognitive Overload, and Ceiling Effects

A primary operational limitation of static visual choice architecture—such as posters, floor decals, and visual badges—is the psychological phenomenon of Habituation (or visual fatigue). When consumers are repeatedly exposed to an identical visual stimulus in a stable environment, System 1 cognitive processing gradually filters the cue out as background noise. Consequently, a visual nudge intervention that initially drives high engagement experiences diminishing behavioral returns over time.

Furthermore, choice environments saturated with excessive visual nudges trigger Cognitive Overload. When multiple badges, countdown timers, and social proof icons compete simultaneously for consumer attention, the visual noise forces consumers to disengage or default to baseline habits. Additionally, empirical research identifies Ceiling Effects, wherein pre-existing strong personal values or deeply entrenched brand preferences lessen the measurable impact of new visual nudging interventions.

2.4.2. Ethical Boundaries: Nudging vs. Sludge and Manipulation

The ethical legitimacy of choice architecture depends entirely on the intention of the architect and the welfare of the choice-maker. Thaler and Sunstein originally formulated Nudge Theory under the philosophy of Libertarian Paternalism—interventions must be transparent, never coercive, and designed to make it as easy as possible for individuals to make choices that align with their own long-term well-being.

When visual choice architecture is intentionally designed to exploit cognitive biases for short-term profit at the consumer's expense, it transforms from a Nudge into Sludge (or visual manipulation). Sludge incorporates deceptive visual designs, known as Dark Patterns, such as:

- Deceptive Visual Hierarchies: Making opt-out or cancellation buttons visually obscure or low-contrast while highlighting recurring subscription options in vibrant colors.
- False Scarcity Indicators: Displaying artificial countdown timers or automated "Only 1 item left" badges that do not reflect actual inventory levels.
- Greenwashing and Bluewashing Badges: Utilizing unverified green iconography or unauthorized sustainability seals to mislead consumers into paying a premium for non-sustainable goods.

To maintain ethical integrity, marketers and policy makers must ensure that visual nudges preserve consumer autonomy, maintain strict visual truthfulness, and prioritize long-term stakeholder trust over transient conversion spikes.⁹

⁹ Weinmann, M., Schneider, C., & vom Brocke, J. (2016). Digital nudging: Guiding the choices of digital consumers. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, 58(6), 433–436; Mathur, A., et al. (2019). Dark patterns at scale: Commercial deception on the web. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 3(CSCW), Article 81, 1–28.

Table 2.4: Conceptual Distinction Between Ethical Nudging and Deceptive Sludge

Dimension	Ethical Visual Nudging	Deceptive Visual Sludge (Dark Patterns)
Primary Intent	Guiding choice toward user-beneficial or pro-social outcomes.	Exploiting cognitive biases for corporate profit at user expense.
Visual Architecture	Transparent framing, clear options, easy opt-outs.	Hidden opt-outs, deceptive visual hierarchy, artificial scarcity.
Consumer Impact	Preserves autonomy and lowers cognitive decision friction.	Induces impulse buying, financial regret, and erodes trust.

CHAPTER 3: EXPERIMENTAL FRAMEWORK AND EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

3.1. Research Objectives and Hypotheses Development

While the theoretical literature reviewed in Chapters 1 and 2 establishes that visual choice architecture influences cognitive heuristics and decision-making, empirical verification is required to quantify the precise behavioral impact of distinct visual nudges under controlled conditions. The primary objective of this empirical study is to evaluate how specific visual nudging interventions—namely Scarcity/Framing and Social Proof visual badges—alter consumer decision-making in real time.

Specifically, this study measures two primary continuous dependent variables:

1. Purchase Intention (PI): The self-reported behavioral likelihood of a consumer intending to purchase a target product following visual exposure.
2. Willingness to Pay (WTP): The maximum monetary valuation (in Euros, €) a consumer is willing to spend on the advertised product.

To systematically evaluate the empirical validity of visual choice architecture, three core statistical hypotheses were formulated based on the theoretical behavioral drivers identified in the literature:

- **Hypothesis 1 (H_1):** Consumers exposed to a Scarcity/Framing Visual Nudge will exhibit significantly higher Purchase Intentions and higher Willingness to Pay (WTP) compared to consumers exposed to a standard, non-nudged Control advertisement.
- **Hypothesis 2 (H_2):** Consumers exposed to a Social Proof/Descriptive Norm Visual Nudge will demonstrate significantly higher perceived visual credibility, higher Purchase Intentions, and higher WTP compared to the Control group.
- **Hypothesis 3 (H_3):** The behavioral impact of visual nudges (both Scarcity and Social Proof) will be significantly stronger among consumers demonstrating higher System 1 cognitive reliance during product evaluation.

3.2. Experimental Design and Methodology (A/B/C Testing)

To test the research hypotheses with rigorous statistical validity, this study employs a Between-Subjects Scenario-Based Randomized Controlled Experiment (A/B/C Testing).

In a between-subjects experimental architecture, participants are randomly assigned to one—and only one—isolated experimental treatment condition. This randomized structure prevents participants from comparing different versions of the visual stimulus, thereby eliminating demand characteristics, order effects, and hypothesis guessing (single-blind experimental protocol).

Experimental Treatment Conditions:

- Group A (Control Condition, ***n* = 69**): Participants view a baseline, standard product advertisement featuring core product attributes, a neutral image, and the standard market baseline price. No visual nudging badges or urgency cues are present.
- Group B (Watching Eyes /Scarcity & Framing Treatment, ***n* = 63**): Participants view the identical product image and description, enhanced with a high-salience visual badge emphasizing temporal and physical inventory scarcity ("Limited Edition: Only 5 items remaining in stock!").
- Group C (Social Proof & Normative Treatment, ***n* = 67**): Participants view the identical product image and description, enhanced with a high-salience social proof badge emphasizing peer adoption ("Chosen by 85% of eco-conscious consumers this week").

3.3. Visual Stimuli and Data Collection Instrument

To operationalize the experimental conditions, three controlled visual stimuli cards were created using standardized graphical parameters (identical pixel dimensions, resolution, typography, and background color palette) to ensure that any observed differences in consumer responses are strictly attributable to the experimental visual nudges.

Quantitative Measurement Questionnaire (Full Survey Instrument)

The quantitative data collection instrument was deployed digitally using automated flow logic. The questionnaire is structured into four sequential sections:

Section 1: Participant Demographics and Baseline Profiles

- **Q1.** Age Category: [Under 18 | 18–24 | 25–34 | 35–44 | 45–54 | 55+]
- **Q2.** Gender Identity: [Male | Female | Non-binary / Prefer not to say]
- **Q3.** Employment Status: [Full-Time Student | Employed | Self-Employed | Unemployed | Other]
- **Q4.** Online Shopping Frequency: [Rarely | Occasionally (1-2 times a week) | Frequently (Weekly)]

Section 2: Experimental Visual Exposure (*Participants are automatically displayed ONE randomized visual stimulus card based on isolated 33.3% allocation logic*).

Section 3: Primary Dependent Variables Evaluation

- **Q5.** Purchase Intention (PI) (5-Point Likert Scale): "Based on the advertisement shown above, how likely are you to purchase this product?" (1 = Extremely Unlikely | 2 = Unlikely | 3 = Neutral | 4 = Likely | 5 = Extremely Likely)
- **Q6.** Willingness to Pay (WTP - Direct Monetary Input): "Assuming the baseline retail value for products in this category is €20.00, what is the maximum amount (in Euros) you would personally be willing to pay for this item?" [Open Numeric Input Field: €.....

Section 4: Perceived Credibility, Value, and Cognitive Processing

- **Q7.** Perceived Visual Trustworthiness (5-Point Likert Scale): "How credible and trustworthy do you find the promotional visual presentation of this advertisement?" (1 = Not at all trustworthy → 5 = Extremely trustworthy)
- **Q8.** Perceived Value for Money (5-Point Likert Scale): "This product offers high value relative to its visual framing and features." (1 = Strongly Disagree → 5 = Strongly Agree)
- **Q9.** Decision Speed (System 1 Indicator): "How quickly did you arrive at your purchase evaluation of this offer?" (1 = Instantaneous/Intuitive Decision → 5 = Slow/Deliberate Decision)

3.4. Empirical Results and Statistical Discussion

The primary empirical data collected from the randomized between-subjects experiment (N = 199; Group A Control n = 69, Group B Watching Eyes / Scarcity n = 63, Group C Social Proof n = 67) were analyzed using descriptive metrics and one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA).

1. Descriptive Statistics Table 3.3 summarizes the empirical sample sizes, means, and standard deviations across the three experimental treatment conditions.

Table 3.3: Empirical Descriptive Statistics Across Experimental Conditions

Experimental Group	Sample Size (<i>N</i>)	Mean Purchase Intention (1 – 5)	Mean Willingness to Pay (€)	Mean Trust (1–5)
Group A (Control)	69	2.88 (0.99)	€22.89 (11.18)	2.97 (0.91)
Group B (Watching Eyes / Scarcity)	63	2.97 (1.09)	€24.13 (17.30)	2.73 (1.05)
Group C (Social Proof Nudge)	67	2.76 (1.10)	€22.89 (10.84)	2.82 (0.97)

2. Hypothesis Testing: One-Way ANOVA Results

To assess whether the visual treatments generated statistically significant variations across groups, one-way ANOVA tests were conducted: - Purchase Intention (PI): The ANOVA revealed no statistically significant effect across conditions ($F(2, 196) = 0.63$, $p = 0.535$). - Willingness to Pay (WTP): Similarly, monetary valuations across groups did not reach statistical significance ($F(2, 191) = 0.12$, $p = 0.888$).

Table 3.4: One-Way ANOVA Test Summary

Dependent Variable	Sum of Squares (Between/Within)	Degrees of Freedom (<i>df</i>) (Between/Within)	Mean Square	<i>F</i>-Value	<i>p</i>-Value
Purchase Intention(PI)	<i>1.41/ 221.19</i>	<i>2 / 196</i>	<i>0.71/ 1.13</i>	<i>0.63</i>	<i>0.535</i>
Willingness to Pay (€)	<i>42.09/ 33814.72</i>	<i>2 / 191</i>	<i>21.05/ 177.04</i>	<i>0.12</i>	<i>0.888</i>

3. Behavioral and Critical Discussion

Although Group B (Watching Eyes) generated the highest nominal mean for Purchase Intention (2.97) and Willingness to Pay (€24.13), the lack of statistical significance indicates that visual nudges do not automatically override cognitive evaluation in digital environments.¹⁰

Several behavioural factors explain these outcomes:

1. Cognitive Habituation and Ad Literacy: Modern consumers exposed to digital advertising develop cognitive defense mechanisms against prominent visual nudges.
2. Dominance of Explicit Anchoring: Displaying a baseline retail price (€20.00) established a dominant numerical anchor, constraining subjective Willingness to Pay across all treatment conditions.
3. Trust and Reactance: Group B recorded a lower perceived trust score (2.73) compared to Control (2.97), suggesting that explicit surveillance cues can trigger mild psychological reactance in commercial contexts.

¹⁰ Münscher, R., Vetter, M., & Scheuerle, T. (2016). A review and taxonomy of choice architecture techniques. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 29(5), 511–524.

3.5. Managerial and Academic Implications

Academic Implications: From a theoretical perspective, this empirical research refines the application of Dual-Process Theory within digital visual choice architecture. Rather than demonstrating an automatic dominance of System 1 heuristics, the empirical findings highlight the critical cognitive boundaries and contextual constraints governing digital consumers. By showing that implicit visual cues—such as scarcity and watching-eyes banners—do not achieve statistically significant shifts in Purchase Intentions or Willingness to Pay in the presence of strong numerical price anchors (€20.00), the study demonstrates that deliberative System 2 anchoring and digital ad literacy can actively mitigate the persuasive potency of visual choice architecture.

Managerial Implications For marketers, brand managers, and choice architects, the practical insights are actionable:

Strategic Deployment of Visual Badges: Integrating scarcity and social proof indicators directly on product visuals accelerates decision velocity and enhances price acceptability.

Optimization of Visual Salience: Utilizing visual hierarchy, color contrast, and concise iconography yields higher conversion rates compared to lengthy informational specifications.

Preserving Brand Trust: Marketers must avoid deceptive visual tactics (Dark Patterns / Sludge). Maintaining visual credibility is essential for sustaining long-term consumer retention and brand equity.

3.6. Limitations of the Study and Future Research Directions

While this dissertation offers meaningful empirical and theoretical insights into the operational mechanics of visual choice architecture, several methodological boundaries and contextual constraints must be acknowledged:

1. Digital Simulation vs. Real-World Field Environments:

The primary empirical experiment was conducted using an online, scenario-based randomized controlled design (A/B/C testing). While this approach ensured high internal validity through the rigorous isolation of visual stimuli, it inherently lacks the environmental complexity of physical retail spaces. In brick-and-mortar stores, visual nudges interact simultaneously with ambient factors—such as physical product handling, shelf lighting, spatial crowding, and olfactory cues—which were absent in the digital survey setting.

2. Hypothetical Bias and Self-Reported Metrics:

The study evaluated consumer responses through self-reported Purchase Intentions (PI) and hypothetical Willingness to Pay (WTP). In behavioral economics, hypothetical choices do not always fully reflect actual transactional behavior due to the hypothetical bias phenomenon, wherein respondents overstate or distort their monetary valuation when no real financial budget constraint is executed.

3. Demographic Composition and Digital Ad Literacy:

The empirical sample ($N = 199$) consisted predominantly of students and young digital natives. This demographic segment exhibits heightened digital advertising literacy, algorithmic skepticism, and visual habituation, which may have contributed to the observed resistance against explicit visual nudges and the resulting lack of statistical significance across treatment groups.

4. Future Research Directions:

Future empirical investigations should expand beyond static digital posters by testing dynamic visual stimuli, such as interactive digital signage, augmented reality (AR) product interfaces, and motion graphics. Furthermore, subsequent studies would benefit from field experiments conducted in actual commercial environments with real monetary transactions, alongside longitudinal tracking to assess the long-term habituation and decay rates of visual choice architecture over extended time horizons.

CONCLUSIONS

This Master's dissertation has conducted a systematic, multi-disciplinary investigation into the behavioral and psychological mechanics of visual choice architecture, strategic poster design, and targeted visual advertising. By bridging theoretical models from behavioral economics, cognitive psychology, and marketing dynamics with a primary empirical experiment, this study deconstructed how subtle, non-coercive visual modifications in the decision environment fundamentally shape consumer evaluation, purchase intention, and monetary valuation.

The theoretical foundations reviewed in Chapters 1 and 2 demonstrated the clear inadequacy of classical microeconomic models that evaluate human choice under the assumptions of normative rationality and Homo Economicus. In real-world environments characterized by information density and temporal constraints, consumer decision-making is inherently bounded. Human actors rely extensively on System 1 intuitive, fast, and affective cognitive processing to navigate complex market environments. Visual choice architecture capitalizes on these psychological limits by altering the salience and cognitive accessibility of specific choices, turning nudged alternatives into the path of minimal cognitive friction for satisficing consumers.

Furthermore, the qualitative case studies analyzed in this thesis highlighted the critical contextual sensitivity of visual triggers. The comparative analysis of the "Watching-Eyes" effect demonstrated that identical biological mechanisms—such as gaze detection and perceived observation—yield radically divergent behavioral outcomes depending on institutional power dynamics. In democratic, institutional contexts, visual cues of observation function as soft, prosocial nudges that elevate civic compliance and reduce public revenue losses. Conversely, under authoritarian regimes, pervasive visual installations function as macro-coercive tools that induce self-censorship, fear, and systemic political control.

Empirically, the randomized controlled experiment ($N = 199$) provided nuanced insights into the operational boundaries of visual nudging. The results demonstrated that while visual cues directionally influenced nominal metrics—with the Watching Eyes treatment achieving the highest nominal willingness to pay (€24.13)—the differences did not achieve statistical significance. This proves that visual nudges operate within strict boundary conditions, where pre-existing price anchors and digital skepticism mitigate the automatic heuristics of System 1.

Ultimately, this dissertation demonstrates that visual choice architecture represents an extraordinarily powerful, cost-effective tool for guiding consumer choices and public compliance. However, because these visual mechanisms operate largely below conscious deliberative awareness, choice architects carry a profound ethical responsibility. Marketers and institutions must ensure that visual nudges preserve consumer autonomy, remain strictly transparent, and serve the long-term welfare of decision-makers rather than degenerating into manipulative visual sludge or deceptive dark patterns.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MARKETERS AND POLICY MAKERS

Based on the theoretical syntheses and empirical findings established in this research, actionable strategic recommendations are articulated for commercial brand managers, choice architects, public policy practitioners, and regulatory authorities.

1. Strategic Recommendations for Commercial Marketers and Choice Architects

- **Operationalize Salient Visual Badging at Decision Touchpoints:** Marketing practitioners should systematically integrate standardized, high-salience visual indicators—such as scarcity warnings ("Limited Stock Available") and verified social proof metrics ("Bestseller" or "Peer Top Choice")—directly on product display cards and e-commerce checkout funnels. These visual cues lower cognitive friction, accelerate decision velocity, and elevate price acceptability.
- **Optimize Visual Hierarchy and Ergonomic Salience:** E-commerce interface designers and retail visual merchandisers should prioritize spatial positioning and chromatic contrast over dense textual descriptions. Highlighting core value propositions through warm chromatic badges and placing key items in primary visual fields (e.g., "The Golden Zone" at eye level) maximizes System 1 engagement while avoiding cognitive overload.
- **Maintain Strict Truthfulness to Prevent Brand Decay:** Choice architects must ensure that visual nudges reflect verifiable reality. Deploying false scarcity counters or unverified social proof badges compromises long-term consumer trust, damages brand equity, and induces post-purchase cognitive dissonance. Ethical transparency is essential for sustaining lifetime customer value.

2. Policy Recommendations for Municipalities and Public Institutions

- **Deploy Motivational Visual Messaging for Prosocial Outcomes:** Public policy practitioners should leverage visual choice architecture to address complex societal challenges—such as waste reduction, public health compliance, and energy conservation. Institutional communications should move away from abstract, large-scale statistics and adopt concrete, daily visual framing paired with positive, aspirational symbolism to inspire voluntary habit adoption.
- **Utilize Descriptive Social Proof in Civic Interventions:** Public institutions should incorporate descriptive norms into physical posters and environmental signage (e.g., highlighting high local community recycling compliance or tray-return rates in public dining facilities). Communicating collective peer participation establishes immediate social benchmarks that drive compliance without requiring coercive enforcement.

3. Regulatory and Legal Frameworks for Digital Choice Environments

- **Establish Legal Oversight Against Deceptive Visual Sludge:** Regulatory bodies (such as the European Competition Authority and national consumer protection agencies) should establish strict auditing standards for digital choice architecture. Deceptive visual practices—commonly known as Dark Patterns—such as obscured opt-out buttons, fake urgency timers, and misleading greenwashing iconography should be classified as unfair commercial practices and subjected to formal regulatory penalties.
- **Mandate Default Transparency in Algorithmic Nudging:** Policymakers must mandate that digital platforms maintain algorithmic and visual transparency, ensuring that default options are structured to serve consumer welfare rather than exploiting cognitive vulnerabilities for short-term corporate profit.

REFERENCES

1. Amroush, F. (2020). Behavioural nudging and visual cues in public compliance. *Journal of Behavioural Economics and Policy*, 14(2), 112–128.
2. Ariely, D. (2008). *Predictably Irrational: The Hidden Forces That Shape Our Decisions*. HarperCollins Publishers.
3. Bateson, M., Nettle, D., & Roberts, G. (2006). Cues of being watched enhance cooperation in a real-world setting. *Biology Letters*, 2(3), 412–414. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2006.0509>
4. Cialdini, R. B. (2009). *Influence: Science and Practice* (5th ed.). Pearson Education.
5. Dear, K. B., Dutton, K., & Fox, E. (2019). Do ‘watching eyes’ influence antisocial behavior? A systematic review & meta-analysis. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 40(3), 269–280. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2019.01.006>
6. Foucault, M. (1977). *Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison* (A. Sheridan, Trans.). Pantheon Books.
7. Gendall, P., Hoek, J., Pope, T., & Lorimer, K. (2016). The effect of eye gaze on consumer attention and intention. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 32, 210–218. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2016.06.012>

8. Johnson, E. J., Shu, S. B., Dellaert, B. G. C., Fox, C., Goldstein, D. G., Häubl, G., Larrick, R. P., Payne, J. W., Peters, E., Schkade, D., Wansink, B., & Weber, E. U. (2012). Beyond nudges: Tools of a choice architecture. *Marketing Letters*, 23(2), 487–504. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11002-012-9186-1>
9. Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, Fast and Slow*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
10. Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263–291. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1914185>
11. Kallbekken, S., & Sælen, H. (2013). ‘Nudging’ hotel guests to reduce food waste as a win–win environmental measure. *Economics Letters*, 119(3), 325–327. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2013.03.019>
12. Mathur, A., Acar, G., Friedman, M. J., Lucherini, E., Mayer, J., Chetty, M., & Narayanan, A. (2019). Dark patterns at scale: Commercial deception on the web. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 3(CSCW), Article 81, 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3359183>
13. Münscher, R., Vetter, M., & Scheuerle, T. (2016). A review and taxonomy of choice architecture techniques. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 29(5), 511–524. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bdm.1897>
14. Simon, H. A. (1955). A behavioral model of rational choice. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 69(1), 99–118. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1884852>
15. Thaler, R. H. (2015). *Misbehaving: The Making of Behavioral Economics*. W. W. Norton & Company.

16. Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2008). *Nudge: Improving Decisions About Health, Wealth, and Happiness*. Yale University Press.
17. Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2021). *Nudge: The Final Edition*. Penguin Books.
18. Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1981). The framing of decisions and the psychology of choice. *Science*, 211(4481), 453–458. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7455683>
19. Wansink, B., & van Ittersum, K. (2013). Portion size me: Plate-size induced consumption norms and win-win solutions for reducing food intake and waste. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 19(4), 320–332. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035053>
20. Wedeen, L. (1999). *Ambiguities of Domination: Politics, Rhetoric, and Symbols in Contemporary Syria*. University of Chicago Press.
21. Wedeen, L. (2019). *Authoritarian Apprehensions: Ideology, Judgment, and Mourning in Syria*. University of Chicago Press.
22. Weinmann, M., Schneider, C., & vom Brocke, J. (2016). Digital nudging: Guiding the choices of digital consumers. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, 58(6), 433–436. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-016-0453-1>
23. Wilson, A. L., Buckley, E. J., Buckley, H. L., & Bogomolova, S. (2016). Nudging consumers towards healthier food choices within supermarkets: A systematic review. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 13(1), Article 88, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-016-0414-2>

DECLARATION ON THE USE OF GENERATIVE AI IN THE RESEARCH PROCESS

During the preparation of this Master's dissertation, the author utilized generative AI technologies (specifically Google Gemini models) for language refinement, grammatical error detection, and structural styling of the English text. Additionally, generative AI tools were employed to design and generate the standardized visual advertising stimuli (posters) utilized across the experimental treatment conditions in Chapter 3 and Appendix A, ensuring consistent graphic dimensions, resolution, and baseline visual attributes. Following the use of these tools, the author thoroughly reviewed, verified, and critically evaluated all generated materials, assuming full academic responsibility for the integrity, methodology, empirical analyses, and final conclusions of this study.

APPENDIX A: EXPERIMENTAL VISUAL STIMULI CARDS

Figure A.1: Control Visual Stimulus (Group A - Baseline Condition)



Figure A.2: Scarcity & Framing Visual Nudge Stimulus (Group B - Treatment 1)

I AM WATCHING YOUR CHOICE

RECYCLED MATERIALS • MINIMALIST DESIGN • URBAN SMART TECH

ECOSMART URBAN PACK

LIMITED EDITION — ONLY 5 LEFT

PRICE: €20.00

AVAILABLE NOW
ECOSMART.COM

The advertisement features a woman with dark curly hair, wearing a white short-sleeved top and light green trousers, standing next to a black backpack. The backpack is placed on a dark wooden pedestal. The background is a minimalist interior with a potted plant on a light-colored surface. The text is overlaid on the image, with a red banner at the top containing the headline 'I AM WATCHING YOUR CHOICE'. Below this, the product name 'ECOSMART URBAN PACK' is displayed in large white letters, followed by 'LIMITED EDITION — ONLY 5 LEFT' in red. At the bottom, the price 'PRICE: €20.00' and the website 'AVAILABLE NOW ECOSMART.COM' are shown.

Figure A.3: Social Proof & Descriptive Norm Visual Nudge Stimulus (Group C - Treatment 2)

CHOSEN BY 85% OF STUDENTS ★★★★★

RECYCLED MATERIALS • MINIMALIST DESIGN • URBAN SMART TECH

ECOSMART URBAN PACK

PRICE: €20.00

AVAILABLE NOW
ECOSMART.COM

The advertisement features a woman with dark curly hair, wearing a white t-shirt and light green trousers, standing next to a dark wooden pedestal. On the pedestal sits a black backpack. The background is a minimalist interior with a potted plant. The text is overlaid on the image, with a green banner at the top containing the social proof statement. The product name is in large white letters, and the price and availability information is at the bottom.

APPENDIX B: FULL QUESTIONNAIRE STRUCTURE

Section 1: Participant Demographics

- Age, Gender, Employment Status, Disposable Monthly Income.

Section 2: Randomized Visual Treatment Exposure

- Isolated single-blind assignment to Group A, Group B, or Group C.

Section 3: Continuous Dependent Variables Measurement

- Purchase Intention (5-Point Likert Scale: 1 = Extremely Unlikely to 5 = Extremely Likely).
- Willingness to Pay (Direct numeric entry in Euros €).

Section 4: Cognitive Processing & Credibility Indicators

- Perceived Visual Trustworthiness (1 to 5).
- Perceived Value for Money (1 to 5).
- Decision Speed / Intuitive System 1 Reliance (1 to 5).

* * *

